THE SPECIAL EDUCATOR
A Journal Publication of
The Nigeria Association of Special Education Teachers (NASET)
Print ISSN: 1597-1767 e-ISSN: 2971-5709
https://www.tspeducator.com
Volume 24; Issue 3; September, 2026; Pages 42- 48.

Evaluating the Effectiveness of Innovative Tools Used in Special Needs Education Interventions in Nigeria

***Ikechukwu, C. C., Ishaya, P., Clement-Ezepue, A. & Unimuke, F. A.**
Department of Special Education, University of Calabar, Calabar
Kaduna State Senior Secondary Schools Board

***Corresponding author email:** sintiaikechukwu2597@gmail.com

Abstract
Technological development has created new opportunities for improving access to education among learners with disabilities. This paper examines the effectiveness of innovative tools used in special needs education interventions in Nigeria, with particular attention to assistive technology, artificial intelligence, digital learning platforms, automatic captioning, sign-language technologies, augmented reality, and virtual reality. The paper examined how these tools can influence academic achievement, learner participation, communication, independence, engagement, and instructional practice. It also considered the major factors that limit effective implementation within the Nigerian educational system. A review of relevant literature indicates that appropriately selected technological resources can reduce barriers to learning, improve access to educational content, facilitate communication, support individualized instruction, and increase opportunities for learner participation. However, technology does not automatically produce better educational outcomes. Its effectiveness is influenced by teacher competence, infrastructure, funding, accessibility, technical support, appropriate content, institutional commitment, and the characteristics of individual learners. The review further identified important gaps in Nigerian evidence, particularly regarding long-term effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, rural–urban differences, teacher preparedness, locally developed technologies, and stakeholder experiences. The paper recommends increased and sustained investment in assistive and digital technologies, continuous professional development for teachers, user-centred design, development of locally relevant content, stronger stakeholder collaboration, and systematic monitoring and evaluation. The paper concludes that innovative tools can make a significant contribution to inclusive and equitable education in Nigeria when their adoption is guided by evidence, learner needs, accessibility, affordability, and sustainability.

Keywords: Assistive Technology, Artificial Intelligence, Inclusive Education, Innovative Tools, Learners With Disabilities, Special Needs Education

Introduction

Education is central to individual development and national progress. It provides opportunities for acquiring knowledge, developing skills, participating in society, and achieving personal independence. For learners with disabilities, however, conventional educational arrangements may create barriers to participation. These barriers may arise from inaccessible learning materials, communication difficulties, physical limitations, inappropriate instructional methods, or inadequate support services.The expansion of educational technology has created additional possibilities for addressing some of these challenges. Contemporary special needs education can draw on a wide range of resources, including screen readers, electronic Braille displays, hearing technologies, speech-to-text applications, augmentative and alternative communication systems, adaptive learning platforms, digital content, captioning systems, and interactive applications. These technologies can provide alternative ways for learners to receive information, communicate, complete tasks, and demonstrate their knowledge. Assistive technology is particularly important because it can reduce functional barriers that restrict educational participation. A systematic review by Fernández-Batanero et al. (2022), which examined 31 empirical studies, found evidence that assistive technologies can support accessibility and inclusion among students with disabilities. The review also identified teacher preparation, information availability, and accessibility as important barriers to effective

Cite this article as:
Ikechukwu, C. C., Ishaya, P., Clement-Ezepue, A. & Unimuke, F. A. (2026) Evaluating the Effectiveness of Innovative Tools Used in Special Needs Education Interventions in Nigeria. *The Special Educator, 24*(3), 42-48.

implementation.

Recent evidence also indicates that technology for inclusive education extends beyond traditional assistive devices. Navas-Bonilla et al. (2025), in a systematic review of 159 studies, identified technologies including mobile devices, interactive applications, augmented reality, accessibility software, and other digital resources as tools that can support more personalized and inclusive learning environments. At the international level, UNESCO (2023) emphasizes that technology should be evaluated according to educational relevance, equity, scalability, and sustainability rather than being adopted simply because it is technologically advanced. The report also cautions that strong evidence regarding the added value of some educational technologies remains limited and that the costs of technology can be underestimated. These considerations are particularly relevant to Nigeria, where educational institutions operate under considerable differences in infrastructure, funding, teacher availability, internet connectivity, electricity supply, and access to specialized equipment. Schools in urban centres may have technological resources that are unavailable or unreliable in rural communities. Consequently, the presence of innovative tools in a school does not necessarily mean that learners will benefit from them. Effective technology integration, therefore, requires more than procurement. Schools must determine whether a particular technology corresponds with learners' needs, whether teachers possess the skills required to use it, whether adequate infrastructure is available, and whether the intervention can be maintained over time. Against this background, this paper examines the effectiveness of innovative tools used in special needs education interventions in Nigeria. It focuses on the major categories of innovative tools, their potential educational benefits, gaps in existing research, implementation challenges, approaches to evaluating effectiveness, and recommendations for improving their use.

Conceptualising Innovative Tools in Special Needs Education

Innovative tools in special needs education refer broadly to technological devices, software applications, digital resources, and adaptive approaches that are intended to improve access, participation, communication, learning, or independence among learners with disabilities. The term encompasses both relatively simple devices and sophisticated digital systems. Examples include adapted writing materials, hearing technologies, Braille equipment, screen readers, communication devices, speech-recognition systems, artificial intelligence applications, digital learning platforms, and immersive technologies. The educational value of an innovative tool depends on the relationship between the technology and the learner's needs. For example, a screen reader may be appropriate for a learner with visual impairment, while captioning may be particularly valuable to a learner who is deaf or hard of hearing. An augmentative communication device may be more relevant to a learner with significant communication difficulties. UNESCO's work on inclusive education emphasizes that assistive devices can help remove barriers that prevent learners with disabilities from participating fully in education. Universal Design for Learning similarly encourages educational systems to provide multiple ways of representing information, engaging learners, and allowing them to demonstrate knowledge. Therefore, innovative technology should be regarded as an educational support mechanism rather than an end in itself. Its success depends on the appropriateness of the tool, the competence of the teacher, the learner's characteristics, and the environment in which the intervention takes place.

Types of Innovative Tools Used in Special Needs Education

Assistive Technology

Assistive technology includes devices and systems that help individuals perform tasks that may otherwise be difficult because of a disability. Within educational settings, such technologies may support reading, writing, communication, hearing, vision, mobility, and information access. Examples include screen readers, electronic Braille displays, magnification software, hearing technologies, adapted keyboards, speech-generating devices, and speech-to-text applications. The main educational contribution of assistive technology is its ability to provide alternative routes to curriculum participation. A learner who cannot access printed material visually, for example, may use a screen reader or Braille display. Similarly, a learner with limited speech may use an augmentative communication system to express ideas and respond during classroom activities. Evidence from systematic research indicates that assistive technology can promote accessibility and inclusion, although effective implementation depends on factors such as teacher education, information, and accessibility. (Fernández-Batanero et al., 2022).

Automatic Captioning

Automatic captioning systems convert spoken language into written text, usually in real time or within recorded multimedia materials. Captioning can improve access to audio-based instructional content for learners who are deaf or hard of hearing. Its usefulness extends beyond disability-related needs. Captions may support learners who benefit from simultaneous visual and auditory information and may also be useful where environmental noise or other circumstances make listening difficult. However, captioning systems must be sufficiently accurate and synchronized with speech. Language variation, accents, background noise, and technical limitations can affect performance.

Cite this article as:
Ikechukwu, C. C., Ishaya, P., Clement-Ezepue, A. & Unimuke, F. A. (2026) Evaluating the Effectiveness of Innovative Tools Used in Special Needs Education Interventions in Nigeria. *The Special Educator, 24*(3), 42-48.

Sign-Language Technologies

Sign-language recognition technologies attempt to identify signs through computer vision, sensors, or machine-learning systems and convert them into text, speech, or other digital outputs. Such technologies have potential for improving communication between sign-language users and people who do not understand sign language. They may also contribute to educational activities involving deaf learners. Nevertheless, sign-language recognition remains technically challenging. Variations in signing style, facial expressions, hand position, lighting, camera angle, and vocabulary can affect recognition accuracy. Consequently, these technologies should be viewed as developing tools requiring continued testing and improvement.

Interactive Digital Learning Platforms

Digital learning platforms provide access to instructional materials, exercises, multimedia resources, assessments, and feedback. Some systems are adaptive and adjust activities according to learners' responses. For learners with disabilities, interactive platforms may allow greater flexibility in the pace, format, and presentation of instructional content. They can also provide opportunities for repeated practice without requiring constant direct teacher assistance. The effectiveness of such systems depends on accessibility and the extent to which they are aligned with curriculum objectives.

Augmented Reality

Augmented reality (AR) adds computer-generated information to a learner's physical environment. Unlike fully immersive virtual reality, AR retains the real environment while supplementing it with digital information. Research on AR in education has examined its capacity to enrich learning through interactive and visual experiences. Bower et al. (2014), for example, discussed educational applications of AR and its potential to create new forms of interaction with learning content. For learners with special educational needs, AR may be useful for visualising concepts, providing guided activities, and supporting multisensory learning. However, accessibility, cost, teacher competence, and equipment requirements must be considered.

Virtual Reality

Virtual reality creates computer-generated environments in which learners can interact with simulated objects and situations. VR may be used for experiential learning, simulations, skills practice, and controlled exposure to situations that may be difficult to reproduce in a conventional classroom. Research on VR in education has grown considerably. Luo (2021), for example, reviewed two decades of VR research in K–12 and higher education and identified the expanding use of VR in educational settings. For special needs education, VR may have applications in social skills training, environmental familiarisation, vocational preparation, experiential learning, and simulation-based instruction. Its implementation in Nigeria may nevertheless be restricted by equipment costs, infrastructure, teacher preparation, and accessibility considerations.

Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence has introduced new possibilities for personalised learning, content adaptation, assessment, communication support, and teacher assistance. AI-powered systems can analyse learner responses and adjust activities, provide automated feedback, support content creation, or assist teachers with instructional planning. These capabilities may be useful in classrooms containing learners with diverse educational needs. However, AI should not be regarded as an automatic solution to educational challenges. Issues relating to accuracy, data privacy, algorithmic bias, accessibility, cost, teacher supervision, and cultural relevance must be addressed.

Effectiveness of Innovative Tools

(i) Academic Achievement

A major criterion for assessing innovative tools is their contribution to academic learning. Technology can provide alternative methods of accessing information and completing educational tasks. For learners with visual impairments, screen readers, audio materials, and Braille technologies can provide access to instructional content. Learners with communication difficulties may use communication technologies to respond to questions or complete activities. Adaptive learning technologies can also adjust the level or pace of activities. The wider literature suggests that inclusive technologies can support personalised learning and remove barriers to participation (Navas-Bonilla et al., 2025). However, academic effectiveness should be demonstrated through measurable outcomes such as assessment results, skill acquisition, task completion, retention, and progress toward individualized educational objectives.

(ii) Learner Engagement

Innovative technologies can increase learner engagement by providing interactive activities, multimedia content, immediate feedback, simulations, and opportunities for independent practice. For some learners with disabilities, conventional materials may create frustration because the format does not match their needs. Technology can provide alternative formats that make participation more manageable. The effectiveness of such interventions should be assessed through indicators such as attendance, participation, task persistence, time-on-task, learner feedback, and classroom interaction.

Cite this article as:
Ikechukwu, C. C., Ishaya, P., Clement-Ezepue, A. & Unimuke, F. A. (2026) Evaluating the Effectiveness of Innovative Tools Used in Special Needs Education Interventions in Nigeria. *The Special Educator, 24*(3), 42-48.

(iii) Communication

Communication is a particularly important area of special needs education. Learners with hearing, speech, language, or communication difficulties may experience substantial barriers when conventional verbal communication is the primary means of classroom interaction. Captioning, speech-to-text applications, augmentative and alternative communication devices, sign-language technologies, and text-based communication platforms can create additional channels for interaction. Improved communication may also enhance social participation because learners can more easily express their needs, ask questions, interact with peers, and participate in group activities.

(iv) Teacher Effectiveness

Technology can support teachers by providing digital instructional resources, assessment tools, differentiated learning materials, and automated assistance. However, the effectiveness of educational technology depends substantially on teacher competence. Teachers must be able to determine when a technology is appropriate, how it should be incorporated into instruction, and how its effects should be monitored. UNESCO (2023) similarly emphasizes that technology should support, not replace, human interaction and sound educational practice.

(v) Learner Independence

An important outcome of assistive technology is increased independence. A learner who can independently access a textbook through a screen reader, communicate through an electronic device, or complete a task using adapted technology may require less direct assistance from teachers or peers. Independence should therefore be considered an important indicator of effectiveness alongside examination performance.

Gaps in Existing Literature

Limited Nigerian Empirical Evidence

Although international literature on educational technology and disability is expanding, there remains a need for more empirical research conducted within Nigerian special education settings. Future studies should examine different states, educational levels, disability groups, and school environments.

Limited Comparative Evidence

There is insufficient evidence concerning which innovative tools are most effective for particular disability groups. Comparative studies could help schools identify technologies that provide the greatest benefit for specific educational objectives.

Limited Long-Term Evidence

Many interventions are assessed over relatively short periods. Short-term improvement does not necessarily demonstrate sustained effectiveness. Longitudinal studies should therefore examine whether gains in academic achievement, communication, participation, independence, and engagement continue over time.

Limited Cost-Effectiveness Research

The true cost of technology extends beyond initial procurement. Schools may need to pay for installation, training, maintenance, internet connectivity, electricity, repairs, software updates, and replacement. Future research should examine whether specific technologies provide sustainable educational benefits relative to their total cost.

Rural–Urban Differences

Differences in electricity, connectivity, funding, equipment, teacher availability, and technical assistance may produce significant differences between urban and rural schools. More research is needed to establish how these contextual factors affect technology implementation.

Teacher Preparedness

Teacher knowledge and confidence remain important determinants of technology adoption. Research should investigate teachers' practical competence in selecting, operating, adapting, and evaluating technologies.

Limited Locally Developed Resources

Technologies developed outside Nigeria may not always correspond with local curricula, languages, cultural practices, or classroom conditions. Research and innovation should therefore encourage locally relevant technologies and content.

Limited Stakeholder Participation

The experiences of learners, teachers, parents, school administrators, policymakers, technology developers, and disability organizations should be incorporated into research and evaluation.Strategies for Filling the Identified

Cite this article as:
Ikechukwu, C. C., Ishaya, P., Clement-Ezepue, A. & Unimuke, F. A. (2026) Evaluating the Effectiveness of Innovative Tools Used in Special Needs Education Interventions in Nigeria. *The Special Educator, 24*(3), 42-48.

Gaps

The identified gaps can be addressed through a coordinated research and implementation framework.

Empirical Research

Universities and research institutions should undertake field-based studies involving learners with different disabilities and educational needs. Research should measure academic, social, communication, participation, and independence outcomes.

Comparative Studies

Researchers should compare technologies based on effectiveness, accessibility, usability, cost, sustainability, and suitability for particular disability groups.

Longitudinal Research

Studies should follow learners for extended periods to determine whether observed improvements are maintained.

Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Evaluation should consider the entire cost of an intervention, including acquisition, installation, training, maintenance, repairs, upgrades, and replacement.

Rural–Urban Research

Studies should compare schools in different geographical and socioeconomic contexts and identify technologies that can function effectively in resource-constrained environments.

Teacher Professional Development

Teacher preparation should include practical training in assistive technology, accessibility, differentiated instruction, digital learning, learner assessment, and technology evaluation.

Local Technology Development

Universities, government agencies, schools, disability organizations, and technology companies should collaborate to develop affordable and culturally appropriate technologies.

Stakeholder Collaboration

Learners, parents, teachers, administrators, policymakers, researchers, technology developers, and disability organizations should participate in planning and evaluating technology interventions.

Challenges Affecting the Effective Use of Innovative Tools

1) Inadequate Funding

The cost of assistive devices, digital equipment, software, internet services, and maintenance can limit technology adoption. Financial limitations may also prevent schools from replacing obsolete or damaged equipment.

2) Inadequate Teacher Preparation

Technology may remain unused or poorly integrated when teachers lack practical knowledge. Training should therefore accompany technology procurement.

3) Poor Infrastructure

Unreliable electricity, inadequate internet connectivity, insufficient digital facilities, and poor equipment security can undermine technology programmes.

4) Limited Availability of Assistive Devices

Many learners may not have access to appropriate devices such as Braille equipment, screen readers, hearing technologies, communication devices, or adapted computers.

5) Maintenance Difficulties

Technological interventions require regular maintenance, repairs, updates, and eventual replacement. Without technical support, equipment may become unusable.

6) Resistance to Change

Teachers, administrators, parents, or learners may sometimes hesitate to adopt unfamiliar technologies. Orientation, training, and evidence of usefulness can help reduce such resistance.

7) Weak Policy Implementation

Policy statements supporting inclusive education require corresponding financial resources, implementation plans, monitoring systems, and accountability.

8) Lack of Localised Content

Digital resources that do not reflect Nigerian curricula, languages, cultural practices, and learning environments may be less effective.

9) Digital Inequality

Unequal access to technology can create differences in educational opportunity between urban and rural communities.

10) Inadequate Technical Support

Schools require access to personnel who can install, maintain, troubleshoot, and update technology.

Cite this article as:
Ikechukwu, C. C., Ishaya, P., Clement-Ezepue, A. & Unimuke, F. A. (2026) Evaluating the Effectiveness of Innovative Tools Used in Special Needs Education Interventions in Nigeria. *The Special Educator, 24*(3), 42-48.

Approaches to Evaluating Effectiveness

Evaluation should determine not merely whether a technology is available, but whether it produces meaningful educational benefits.

1) Establish Clear Indicators

Evaluation should consider: academic achievement; communication development; learner participation; engagement; independence; accessibility; usability; teacher satisfaction; learner and parent satisfaction; cost-effectiveness; and sustainability.

2) Use Mixed Methods

Quantitative measures such as assessment scores, attendance, task completion, and skill acquisition should be combined with qualitative evidence from interviews, observations, focus groups, and case studies.

This approach provides a broader understanding of both outcomes and user experiences.

3) Monitor Implementation Continuously

Technology interventions should be monitored from procurement through classroom use. Monitoring should identify technical difficulties, teacher challenges, learner experiences, and changes in outcomes.

4) Involve Users

Learners with disabilities should participate in decisions about technologies intended for their use. Their feedback can reveal accessibility and usability problems that may not be obvious to teachers or administrators.

5) Conduct Long-Term Evaluation

Evaluation should examine whether benefits are sustained and whether learners retain skills, become more independent, and continue to participate effectively.

6) Establish National Evaluation Standards

A national framework could establish common indicators for assessing technology-supported special needs education across schools and states.

7) Promote Evidence-Based Procurement

Schools should select technologies according to demonstrated educational value rather than popularity or technological novelty.

Recommendations

Government

The government should increase funding for special needs education and ensure that financial allocations cover the acquisition, installation, maintenance, upgrading, and replacement of assistive technologies.

Investment should also address electricity, internet connectivity, digital infrastructure, and technical support, particularly in rural and underserved schools.

Government should establish effective monitoring and evaluation mechanisms for determining whether technology interventions produce measurable educational benefits.

Policymakers

Policymakers should strengthen the implementation of inclusive education policies by establishing clear standards for technology selection, accessibility, procurement, teacher training, maintenance, monitoring, and evaluation.

Policy should focus on sustainable technology integration rather than one-time equipment distribution.

Schools

Schools should conduct individual learner assessments before selecting technological resources. Administrators should consider disability type, age, educational level, accessibility, curriculum requirements, and the learner's level of independence.

Schools should also maintain records of technology use and periodically evaluate its educational impact.

Teachers

Teachers should participate in continuous professional development in assistive technology, digital pedagogy, accessibility, differentiated instruction, and technology-based assessment.

They should select technology according to learner needs and integrate it into normal classroom activities.

Teachers should also monitor learner progress and communicate challenges to school administrators and relevant specialists.

Learners

Learners with disabilities should be actively involved in decisions about the technologies used to support their education.

Schools should encourage learners to develop appropriate digital and assistive-technology skills so that they can use relevant tools independently.

Their experiences and feedback should form part of technology evaluation.

Cite this article as:
Ikechukwu, C. C., Ishaya, P., Clement-Ezepue, A. & Unimuke, F. A. (2026) Evaluating the Effectiveness of Innovative Tools Used in Special Needs Education Interventions in Nigeria. *The Special Educator, 24*(3), 42-48.

Conclusion

Innovative tools offer considerable opportunities for improving special needs education in Nigeria. Assistive technologies, artificial intelligence, digital learning platforms, captioning systems, sign-language technologies, augmented reality, virtual reality, and other digital resources can reduce barriers to learning and increase access to educational opportunities. The evidence reviewed indicates that technology can support accessibility, participation, communication, learner engagement, personalization, and independence. However, technology should not be regarded as a stand-alone solution. Its effectiveness depends on the interaction between the technology, learner, teacher, curriculum, school environment, infrastructure, and wider education system. The Nigerian context presents particular challenges, including inadequate funding, limited infrastructure, insufficient teacher preparation, maintenance difficulties, unequal access, weak implementation, and limited availability of locally relevant resources. Consequently, successful technology integration requires a comprehensive approach. Government must provide sustainable funding and infrastructure; policymakers must establish effective implementation and evaluation mechanisms; schools must select and monitor appropriate technologies; teachers must develop the necessary competencies; and learners must participate actively in decisions concerning their educational support. Future research should place greater emphasis on Nigerian empirical evidence, comparative studies, longitudinal evaluation, cost-effectiveness, rural–urban differences, teacher preparedness, local technology development, and stakeholder participation. Ultimately, innovative tools are most valuable when they are selected because they solve identifiable educational problems and improve the lives and learning experiences of learners with disabilities. Technology should therefore remain a means of achieving inclusive, equitable, accessible, and meaningful education rather than becoming an end in itself.

References

Bower, M., Howe, C., McCredie, N., Robinson, A., & Grover, D. (2014). Augmented reality in education: Cases, places and potentials. *Educational Media International*, 51(1), 1–15. *https://doi:10.1080/09523987.2014.889400*

Fernández-Batanero, J. M., Montenegro-Rueda, M., Fernández-Cerero, J., & García-Martínez, I. (2022). Assistive technology for the inclusion of students with disabilities: A systematic review. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 70, 1911–1930. *https://doi:10.1007/s11423-022-10127-7*

Luo, H. (2021). Virtual reality in K–12 and higher education: A systematic review of the literature from 2000 to 2019. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 37(3), 887–901. *https://doi:10.1111/jcal.12538*

Navas-Bonilla, C. R., Guerra-Arango, J. A., Oviedo-Guado, D. A., & Murillo-Noriega, D. E. (2025). Inclusive education through technology: A systematic review of types, tools, and characteristics. *Frontiers in Education,* 10, Article 1527851. *https://doi:10.3389/feduc.2025.1527851*

UNESCO. (2023). Global education monitoring report 2023: Technology in education, A tool on whose terms? Paris, France: UNESCO. *https://doi:10.54676/UZQV8501*