The Special Educator
A Journal Publication of
The Nigeria Association of Special Education Teachers (NASET)
Print ISSN: 1597-1767 e-ISSN: 2971-5709
https://www.tspeducator.com
Volume 24; Issue 3; September, 2026; Pages 108- 112.

Strengthening Monitoring and Evaluation Systems for Inclusive Special Needs Education in Nigeria: Policy Frameworks, Accountability, And Sustainable Development

***Bright-George, J. W., & Bobmanuel, D. P.**

Department of Special Needs Education and Rehabilitation Science, Rivers State University, Nkpolu-Oroworkwo, Rivers State.

*Corresponding **author email:** joy.wanwurigeorge@ust.edu.ng

Abstract

Strengthening monitoring and evaluation systems for inclusive special needs education in Nigeria is critical to ensuring that policy commitments translate into measurable, equitable educational outcomes. As Nigeria advances its inclusive education agenda, the effectiveness of these efforts increasingly depends on robust monitoring and evaluation frameworks capable of tracking progress, ensuring accountability, and informing evidence-based decision-making. These systems function as essential instruments for assessing performance, identifying implementation gaps, and guiding targeted interventions that support learners with diverse educational needs. Inclusive special needs education has become a central priority in contemporary educational systems, particularly in developing countries seeking to guarantee equal access to quality learning for all individuals. In Nigeria, policy initiatives have focused on integrating learners with disabilities into mainstream education. However, the success of these initiatives is closely tied to the strength and efficiency of monitoring and evaluation mechanisms. Effective frameworks provide reliable data, enhance institutional capacity, and promote transparency in evaluating educational outcomes. Despite these efforts, several systemic challenges persist, including inadequate funding, weak policy implementation, and limited professional expertise in monitoring processes. These constraints significantly hinder the effectiveness of inclusive education delivery. This paper examines the role of monitoring and evaluation systems in strengthening inclusive special needs education in Nigeria, with emphasis on policy alignment, accountability structures, and sustainable development priorities. It argues that reinforcing these systems is essential for improving transparency, enhancing inclusive practices, and achieving long-term educational sustainability, while proposing strategic measures to strengthen institutional accountability and policy effectiveness nationwide.

Keywords: Accountability, Inclusive Education, Monitoring, Evaluation, Policy Frameworks

Introduction

Inclusive special needs education remains central to achieving equitable and quality education globally. UNESCO (2020) defines inclusive education as a continuous process of addressing learner diversity through increased participation and reduced exclusion, while the United Nations (2015) links inclusion directly to Sustainable Development Goal 4, emphasizing that equitable education is both a human right and a cornerstone for national development. In Nigeria, policy attention toward inclusion has increased significantly, with initiatives aimed at integrating learners with disabilities into mainstream schooling; yet implementation remains uneven, with persistent barriers to access, participation, and meaningful learning outcomes (Ogunyemi, 2022; Okeke & Lawal, 2022). Factors such as insufficient adaptive infrastructure, limited teacher training, and societal attitudes continue to hinder full participation of learners with special needs, further highlighting the gap between policy intentions and on-the-ground realities across educational settings.

Monitoring and evaluation systems play a critical role in bridging this gap between policy and practice. Viberg et al. (2023) emphasize that monitoring provides the data necessary for evidence-based decision-making, enabling

Cite this article as:
Bright-George, J. W., & Bobmanuel, D. P.. (2026). Strengthening monitoring and evaluation systems for inclusive special needs education in nigeria: policy frameworks, accountability, and sustainable development. *The Special Educator, 24*(3), 108- 112.

educators and policymakers to identify challenges and optimize interventions effectively. Similarly, Teichert, Piazza, and Hinga (2023) highlight that evaluation strengthens accountability by ensuring that schools and administrators are responsible for delivering inclusive education effectively. UNESCO (2021) further notes that well-structured M&E systems enhance transparency, improve the quality of policy implementation, and ensure that educational reforms lead to measurable improvements in both student engagement and learning outcomes over time. Despite their critical importance, M&E systems in Nigeria face significant limitations. Ogunyemi (2022) identifies inadequate funding and resource constraints as key obstacles, while Adebayo and Okafor (2021) and Nwosu and Eze (2021) point to weak institutional capacity, fragmented reporting structures, and limited utilization of collected data. These systemic challenges are compounded by rural-urban disparities, which affect the quality, consistency, and reliability of monitoring processes, leaving many schools in under-resourced areas with insufficient tools to track learner progress effectively and consistently. Strengthening monitoring and evaluation systems is therefore essential to ensure that inclusive policies translate into practical and effective educational outcomes. Robust systems allow stakeholders to track progress accurately, assess institutional and learner performance comprehensively, and make informed decisions that promote genuine inclusion. By addressing the existing gaps in capacity, funding, and coordination, Nigeria can align its inclusive education policies with international standards, enhance accountability, and advance sustainable development goals. This study explores how strengthening M&E systems can improve inclusive special needs education in Nigeria, with particular attention to policy alignment, institutional accountability, and long-term sustainability of educational outcomes and inclusive educational reforms.

Concept of Strengthening Special Needs Education Systems in Nigeria

Strengthening special needs education systems involves the deliberate enhancement of institutional frameworks, resource allocation, policy implementation, and stakeholder engagement to ensure effective and sustainable inclusion. Ainscow (2020) explains that inclusive education systems require structural reforms that promote equity, responsiveness to learner diversity, and systematic attention to the barriers that limit participation and learning outcomes for students with disabilities. Such reforms are not only policy-driven but also require practical mechanisms for accountability, monitoring, and capacity development at all levels of the education system. In Nigeria, strengthening these systems necessitates addressing persistent gaps in infrastructure, teacher training, curriculum adaptation, and policy execution. The Federal Ministry of Education (2019) emphasizes the importance of comprehensive inclusive policy frameworks that support integration of learners with special needs into mainstream schooling. UBEC (2020) further highlights initiatives aimed at improving access, enrollment, and retention rates among learners requiring additional support. Nevertheless, implementation challenges continue to constrain progress, primarily due to weak institutional capacity, inadequate funding, and limited professional expertise (Adamu, 2020; Ogunyemi, 2022; Bright-George & Bobmanuel, 2025). These structural and systemic weaknesses impede the translation of policy into effective classroom practices, leaving many learners underserved despite formal policy recognition. Okeke and Lawal (2022) note that effective special needs education systems must accommodate diverse learning requirements through adaptive teaching methods, differentiated instruction, and individualized support. Similarly, Sharma et al. (2018) stress that teacher preparedness, professional development, and practical classroom skills are central to delivering inclusive education effectively. Without adequately trained and motivated personnel, even the most well-crafted policies remain largely theoretical, with minimal impact on student learning and participation. In this context, strengthening systems must prioritize human capital development alongside material and infrastructural resources. Strengthening special needs education systems further requires active collaboration and coordination among all stakeholders. King and Winthrop (2018) emphasize that coordinated efforts among government agencies, educational institutions, communities, and civil society organizations improve efficiency, ensure optimal utilization of available resources, and reduce duplication of efforts. UNESCO (2021) also highlights that institutional coherence, transparent governance, and collaborative planning enhance both policy effectiveness and the sustainability of inclusive education initiatives. Engagement of parents, caregivers, and local communities further reinforces accountability and ensures that educational interventions are contextually relevant and culturally sensitive. Ultimately, strengthening special needs education systems in Nigeria involves a multi-dimensional approach that aligns policy frameworks with practical implementation. It requires harmonizing resources, capacity-building initiatives, institutional accountability, and stakeholder collaboration to create an education system that truly supports inclusive learning. By addressing these interconnected elements, Nigeria can move toward a more equitable education landscape where learners with special needs are not only integrated into mainstream classrooms but also empowered to achieve their full potential, contributing meaningfully to national development and social inclusion.

Concept of Evaluation Systems in Special Needs Education in Nigeria

Monitoring systems in special needs education refer to the continuous, systematic processes of collecting, analyzing, and interpreting data on policy implementation, program delivery, and educational outcomes for learners with diverse needs. These systems track key indicators such as enrollment, attendance, participation,

Cite this article as:
Bright-George, J. W., & Bobmanuel, D. P.. (2026). Strengthening monitoring and evaluation systems for inclusive special needs education in nigeria: policy frameworks, accountability, and sustainable development. *The Special Educator, 24*(3), 108- 112.

retention, and academic performance, while also examining the availability and effectiveness of resources, teaching strategies, and learning environments designed for students with disabilities. Monitoring is thus both a management tool and a mechanism for ensuring that educational interventions achieve their intended objectives in real time. Viberg et al. (2023) emphasize that effective monitoring systems significantly enhance educational delivery by identifying gaps in learner engagement, instructional quality, and overall learning effectiveness. Similarly, Okeke and Lawal (2022) argue that monitoring must integrate both quantitative data, such as attendance and test scores, and qualitative information, including classroom observations and learner feedback, to provide a holistic understanding of educational performance. This comprehensive approach ensures that policy implementation is informed by evidence, rather than assumptions or incomplete information. In our society, however, the development and functioning of monitoring systems are often constrained by weak data infrastructure, inadequate technological resources, and limited technical expertise. Ogunyemi (2022) observes that many schools lack reliable mechanisms for systematic data collection and reporting, which undermines the ability of educational authorities to make timely, evidence-based decisions. Nwosu and Eze (2021) further highlight institutional weaknesses, fragmented reporting structures, and low capacity among staff as major barriers to effective monitoring, which collectively impede the measurement of progress in inclusive education initiatives. UNESCO (2021) stresses that strong monitoring systems improve transparency and accountability by providing timely, accurate, and actionable information on the implementation of policies and programs. Such systems enable stakeholders, including policymakers, school administrators, teachers, and community actors, to identify challenges early, assess the effectiveness of interventions, and implement corrective measures before small issues escalate into systemic failures. Furthermore, well-designed monitoring frameworks contribute to policy refinement, resource optimization, and the alignment of national initiatives with global education standards, including the targets set under Sustainable Development Goal 4. Effective monitoring systems therefore serve as the foundation for informed decision-making, supporting equitable and efficient implementation of inclusive education policies. By strengthening these systems, Nigeria can enhance oversight, ensure resource accountability, and promote continuous improvement in educational practices for learners with special needs. Ultimately, robust monitoring is not merely a technical requirement but a critical instrument for achieving the broader goals of educational equity, social inclusion, and sustainable development.

Strengthening, Monitoring, and Evaluation Systems in Inclusive Special Needs Education

Strengthening monitoring and evaluation (M&E) systems in Nigeria is essential to ensure that inclusive special needs education policies are not only well-intentioned but also effectively implemented and measurable. Strengthening M&E involves enhancing institutional frameworks, improving data infrastructure, developing professional capacity, and establishing clear protocols for accountability and reporting (Teichert, Piazza, and Hinga, 2023). These systems provide structured processes for tracking the implementation of inclusive policies, measuring learner participation and outcomes, and ensuring alignment between national educational objectives and classroom realities. Effective strengthening begins with building institutional capacity. Ogunyemi (2022) notes that weak institutional structures and limited professional expertise reduce the effectiveness of monitoring processes. Adebayo and Okafor (2021) and Nwosu and Eze (2021) highlight that without clearly defined roles, responsibilities, and trained personnel, monitoring systems remain underutilized, leading to incomplete or inaccurate data collection. Strengthening M&E thus requires investment in capacity-building for educators, administrators, and policymakers to implement, manage, and interpret data accurately. A core component of strengthening these systems is the development and integration of reliable data collection and analysis tools. Viberg et al. (2023) emphasize that comprehensive M&E frameworks should combine quantitative metrics such as enrollment, attendance, and performance indicators with qualitative insights from teachers, students, and communities. This holistic approach ensures that monitoring captures the nuances of learner engagement and instructional effectiveness. Okeke and Lawal (2022) further stress that adaptive tools are needed to assess diverse learning needs, ensuring that educational outcomes reflect the realities of students with special needs. Coordination and communication are also critical. UNESCO (2021) underscores that institutional coherence, clear reporting channels, and stakeholder engagement enhance the effectiveness of monitoring systems. Schools, government agencies, communities, and funding bodies must collaborate to ensure that data collection, evaluation, and corrective action processes are well-coordinated. King and Winthrop (2018) note that inter-agency collaboration prevents duplication, optimizes resource use, and allows lessons learned in one context to inform practices elsewhere. Finally, strengthening M&E systems requires addressing structural and systemic challenges. Funding gaps, limited infrastructure, and rural-urban disparities undermine consistent data collection and policy implementation (Ogunyemi, 2022; Yusuf and Afolabi, 2019). Addressing these challenges involves targeted investment, strategic planning, and the establishment of clear accountability mechanisms that connect national policies to practical classroom-level implementation. By focusing on these processes, strengthened M&E systems not only track progress but also provide actionable insights that guide policy refinement and program improvement (Peters, 2019; UNESCO, 2021)

Cite this article as:
Bright-George, J. W., & Bobmanuel, D. P.. (2026). Strengthening monitoring and evaluation systems for inclusive special needs education in nigeria: policy frameworks, accountability, and sustainable development. *The Special Educator, 24*(3), 108- 112.

Challenges Militating Against Strengthening M&E Systems

Several persistent challenges continue to hinder the effectiveness of monitoring and evaluation (M&E) systems in Nigeria's inclusive special needs education sector. Ogunyemi (2022) identifies inadequate funding, insufficient infrastructure, and limited access to modern technologies as major constraints that undermine the ability of schools and educational authorities to implement effective M&E practices. Without proper financial support, schools struggle to maintain accurate data records, invest in assistive learning resources, or establish sustainable monitoring frameworks. Institutional weaknesses further limit the overall effectiveness of M&E systems. Adebayo and Okafor (2021) and Nwosu and Eze (2021) highlight challenges such as poor inter-agency coordination, weak accountability mechanisms, and limited professional expertise among personnel responsible for monitoring and evaluation. These institutional gaps lead to inconsistencies in data collection, reporting delays, and a lack of coherent follow-up actions, all of which compromise the reliability of educational assessments and policy oversight. Data-related challenges also complicate monitoring and evaluation processes. UNESCO (2021) notes that weak data collection systems, inadequate storage facilities, and poor analytical capacity reduce the accuracy and reliability of findings, which in turn hinders informed decision-making. Without robust data systems, it becomes difficult to track learner outcomes, assess program effectiveness, or measure progress toward inclusive education goals, particularly for vulnerable populations with special needs. Additionally, societal attitudes, regional disparities, and inequitable access to educational resources contribute to uneven implementation of M&E practices across the country. Yusuf and Afolabi (2019) observe that stigma against learners with disabilities, as well as differences in urban and rural school capacities, exacerbate inconsistencies in monitoring and evaluation.

Benefits of Strengthening Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in Inclusive Special Needs Education

Strengthening monitoring and evaluation (M&E) systems provides essential benefits for inclusive special needs education in Nigeria. First, robust M&E frameworks enhance accountability, ensuring policies and programs for learners with diverse needs are implemented effectively (Teichert, Piazza and Hinga, 2023). These mechanisms hold educators, administrators, and policymakers responsible for decisions and resource use, reducing inefficiency and improving institutional integrity. Second, M&E systems improve decision-making through systematic data collection and analysis. Viberg et al. (2023) note that data-driven frameworks help identify gaps in service delivery, learner engagement, and instructional quality, supporting targeted resource allocation, professional development, and policy adjustments. Third, M & E systems promote transparency and trust. UNESCO (2021) emphasizes that clear reporting and open communication increase stakeholder confidence, encouraging constructive engagement from parents, communities, and funders. Fourth, strengthened systems enable continuous improvement by identifying strengths, weaknesses, and opportunities in programs (Peters, 2019). Monitoring allows proactive responses and corrective actions, ensuring inclusive practices are progressively optimized. Finally, M & E systems align national education initiatives with global standards, supporting Sustainable Development Goal 4 for inclusive and equitable quality education (United Nations, 2015; World Bank, 2018). Tracking progress toward international benchmarks ensures policies meet global expectations and promotes learning from best practices.

Conclusion

This paper examined the need to strengthen monitoring and evaluation systems for inclusive special needs education in Nigeria, focusing on policy frameworks, accountability, and sustainable development. While progress has been made in policy development, gaps remain in implementation, data management, and institutional accountability. Monitoring and evaluation systems are essential for tracking progress, identifying gaps, and supporting evidence-based decisions. However, weak capacity, limited funding, and poor coordination continue to affect their effectiveness. Strengthening these systems is critical for achieving inclusive education and advancing Sustainable Development Goal 4.

Recommendations

Strengthening monitoring and evaluation systems requires a coordinated approach that integrates institutional capacity, resource allocation, and stakeholder collaboration. The following recommendations are proposed to enhance the efficiency, transparency, and overall impact of monitoring and evaluation frameworks within the education sector:

1. Government and educational institutions should develop robust digital data management systems to support accurate monitoring and evaluation of inclusive education programs.
2. Regular training and professional development programs should be organized to equip teachers and administrators with the necessary skills for effective monitoring and evaluation.
3. Government and school administration should allocate adequate financial resources to support infrastructure development, assistive technologies, and M&E systems in schools.
4. Clear roles, responsibilities, and reporting structures should be established to ensure transparency and accountability in policy implementation.

Cite this article as:
Bright-George, J. W., & Bobmanuel, D. P.. (2026). Strengthening monitoring and evaluation systems for inclusive special needs education in nigeria: policy frameworks, accountability, and sustainable development. *The Special Educator, 24*(3), 108- 112.

5. Collaboration among government agencies, school leaders, and stakeholders should be encouraged to improve the efficiency of monitoring processes.

References

Adebayo, S., & Okafor, C. (2021). Professional conduct and inclusivity in Nigerian schools. *Journal of Contemporary Education Studies, 9*(4), 77–89.

A. (2023). Exploring learner engagement in digital classrooms. *Computers & Education, 195*, 104698.

Adamu, A. (2020). Inclusive education policy implementation in Nigeria: Issues and prospects. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Policy, 5*(1), 88–102.

Ainscow, M. (2020). Promoting inclusion and equity in education. *Nordic Journal of Studies in Educational Policy, 6*(1), 7–16.

Artiles, A. J., Kozleski, E., & Waitoller, F. (2021). *Inclusive education: Examining equity on five continents*. Harvard Education Press.

Bright-George, J.W., & Bobmanuel, D.P. (2025). Inclusive education policies and implications for persons with special needs in Nigeria. *Prestige Journal of Education*, 8(2), 88-98.

European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education. (2018). *Inclusive education in Europe: Policy and practice*. Brussels.

Federal Ministry of Education. (2019). *National policy on education* (6th ed.). Abuja: NERDC Press.

King, E., & Winthrop, R. (2018). *Today's challenges for girls' education*. Brookings Institution.

Nwosu, L., & Eze, P. (2021). Institutional challenges in educational monitoring systems in Nigeria. *African Journal of Educational Administration, 7*(2), 61–75.

OECD. (2019). *Education policy outlook 2019*. Paris: OECD Publishing.

Okeke, T., & Lawal, A. (2022). Inclusive classroom practices and learner diversity in Nigerian secondary schools. *Journal of Educational Development Studies, 6*(2), 55–70.

Ogunyemi, M. (2022). Inclusive education implementation challenges in Nigerian primary schools. *AfriEducational Technology, 58*(1), 22–38.

Peters, S. (2019). Inclusive education: Achieving education for all. *International Journal of Inclusive Education, 23*(7–8), 705–720.

Sharma, U., Loreman, T., & Macanawai, S. (2018). Factors influencing teacher attitudes toward inclusive education. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 70*, 1–10.

Slee, R. (2018). *Inclusive education isn't dead, it just smells funny*. Routledge.

Teichert, L., Piazza, M., & Hinga, B. (2023). Teacher readiness for inclusive learning policies. *International Journal of*

UBEC. (2020). *Universal Basic Education Commission annual report*. Abuja.

UNESCO. (2020). *Global education monitoring report: Inclusion and education*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.

UNESCO. (2021). *Education sector analysis: Monitoring and evaluation systems for improved learning outcomes*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.

United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. New York: United Nations.

Viberg, O., Hatakka, M., & Mavroud*can. Journal of Inclusive Education, 5*(1), 45–60.

Cite this article as:
Bright-George, J. W., & Bobmanuel, D. P.. (2026). Strengthening monitoring and evaluation systems for inclusive special needs education in nigeria: policy frameworks, accountability, and sustainable development. *The Special Educator, 24*(3), 108- 112.